

The 14th July, 1979

No. 28 GA-87-B/1389.- Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing a road from D.M. road to Tumasra road in Gurgaon District, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector Haryana P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the Acquisition of said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. and the Executive Engineer, Provincial Division No. 11, P.W.D. B.&R. Branch, Faridabad.

SPECIFICATIONS

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Palwal	Tumasra	1.96	23 11, 21 24 11/2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 25 15/3, 16, 98, 49, 108, 112, 113, 114, 75
		Total	1.96	

The 17th July, 1979

No. 28GA-87-B/1390.-In exercise of the powers conferred by section 48 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 and all other Powers enabling him in this behalf the Governor of Haryana is pleased to withdraw the Notification No. 28GA-87-B/1345, dated 17th March, 1979 under section IV of Land Acquisition Act 1892 published in *Haryana Government Gazette* on 27th March, 1979 for acquiring the land as detailed below for the work of Constg. an approach road from P.S.R. road to Khuntপুরi in Gurgaon District.

SPECIFICATION

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in Acres	Khasra Nos.
Gurgaon	Gurgaon	Silani	R.D 1.82	2 9 63 1 1 12, 8, 3 61 2/3, 3, 8/1, 8/2, 9/1, 12, 13, 18, 19,

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in Acres	Khasra No.
Gurgaon	Gurgaon	Silani	R.D. 1.82	61 22, 23, 24
				43 8, 9, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23
Do	Do	Khuntपुरी	2.28	33 1, 2
				29 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20/1, 21, 22/1,
				29 23 22/2 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22
				18 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21/2, 22/1, 22/2.
Total			4.10 Acres	

(Sd.) . . .

Superintending Engineer,
Gurgaon Circle P.W.D., B.&R. Branch,
Gurgaon.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 11th July, 1979

No. 11(112)-3 Lab-79/7629.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Universal Textiles Modern Industrial Estates, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL PRESIDING OFFICER LABOUR COURT
HARYANA ROHTAK

Reference No. 244 of 1978

Between

SHRI MOHMAD ISLAM, RAM SHANKAR THAKUR, ASHGAR ALI, RAMJIT,
SHAYAM MANDAL, RAM SHANKAR AND MOHAN LAL, WORKMEN AND
THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S UNIVERSAL TEXTILES MODERN
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE BAHADURGARH

Present.—

Shri Rajinder Singh, for the workmen.

Shri C. K. Aggarwal, for the management.

A W A R D

By order No. ID/RTK/4-78/38970—28th August, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Universal Textiles Modern Industrial Estate.

Bahadurgarh and its workmen to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of the sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of the following workmen was justified and in order? If not to what relief are they entitled?

1. Shri Mohmad Islam.
2. Ram Shankar Thakur.
3. Ashgar Ali.
4. Ram Jit.
5. Shayam Mandal.
6. Shri Ram Shankar.
7. Mohan Lal.

On receipt of order of reference, the notices were issued to the parties, the parties appeared and wanted time for settlement. After some adjournments they appeared before me on 20th June, 1979 and the representative of the parties made the following statements.

STATEMENT OF SHRI RAJINDER SINGH

All the workmen have been taken on duty by the management and the management has agreed to pay Rs. 125 each as compensation. The workmen do not press their claim as they will be treated as on leave without pay during the period of termination till the date of reinstatement. The workmen do not want to pursue this claim cases. The same may be answered accordingly.

STATEMENT OF SHRI C. K. AGGARWAL FOR THE MANAGEMENT

I have heard the statement of the workman's representative. The compensation of Rs. 125 each will be paid within a week. The workmen has already been taken on duty.

In view of the above statements I answer the reference and give my award that the termination of service of the workmen were not justified and in order and as the management has already reinstated all the workmen they are not entitled to any back wages but each workman will receive compensation of Rs. 125 each as agreed upon between the parties.

Dated the 3rd July, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 1616 dated the 3rd July, 1979.

Forwarded (Four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the I. D. Act.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7657.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s The Panipat Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Panipat.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA ROHTAK.

Reference No. 109 of 1978.

between

SH. GOPAL WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S THE PANIPAT CO-OP.
SUGAR MILLS LTD., PANIPAT.

Present.—

Sh. Gian Chand, for the workmen.

Sh. R. S. Malik, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. KNL/34-78/29484 dated the 26th June, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s The Panipat Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Panipat and its workman Sh. Gopal to this court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of the sub-section (1) of the section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

“Whether the termination of the services of the workman Sh. Gopal was justified and in order ? if not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, the notices were issued to the parties. The parties filed their pleadings and on the pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed by my Learned Predecessor on 9th January, 1978.

1. Whether the workman was a casual daily paid worker ? If so, to what effect ? O P.M.
2. Whether the termination of the service of Sh. Gopal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?
3. Relief ?

Instead of producing any evidence after obtaining some adjournment, the parties settled their claim and made the following statements of the parties are re-produced below :—

Statement of Sh. R. S. Malik for the management.

“The management will take applicant on duty as Collie in Engineering Department as seasonal permanent with all back wages and benefits. He will get wages of season of 1977-78 and 1978-79 alongwith the retaining allowances as admissible to the seasonal employees.”

R. O. A. C.

Dated 25th June, 1979.

Sd/- . . .
Presiding Officer.

STATEMENT OF SH. GOPAL WORKMAN ALONGWITH SH. GIAN CHAND.

“We have heard the statement of Sh. R. S. Malik. I have settled my claim with the management on the above terms my reference may be decided as per terms of the settlement stated by Sh. R. S. Malik in his above statement.”

In view of the statements of the parties I answer the reference and give my award that the termination of the service of the workman was justified and the workman is entitled to the relief according to the settlement between the parties and statements of the parties recorded above.

Dated 3rd July, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 1635, Dated 3rd July, 1979.

Forwarded (Four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the I.D. Act.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7660.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Haryana Textiles Mills, Bhiwani.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL PRESIDING OFFICER LABOUR COURT
HARYANA ROHTAK

Reference No 90 of 1978.

SHRI RAKHEL CHAND WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HARYANA
TEXTILES MILLS BHIWANI.

Present:—

Shri Rakhel Chand the workman in person with Shri S. R. Gupta. Shri J. P. Gupta for the Management.

AWARD

By order No. 1D/HSR/24-78/15893 dated 14th June, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/S Haryana Textile Mills, Bhiwani and its workman Shri Rakhel Chand to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of the sub section (1) of the section 10 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Rakhel Chand was justified and in order If not, to what relief is he entitled

On receipt of the reference, the notices were issued to the parties and on their pleadings the following issues were framed by my predecessor on 21st September, 1978.

1. Whether the workman resigned his job?
2. If issue No.1 is not proved whether the termination of service of the workman was justified and in order?
3. Whether the workman is gainfully employed? If so to what effect?

And the case was fixed for evidence of the management on 9th November, 1978. After some adjournments the case was taken up on 11th June, 1979 when the workman and Shri Gupta made the following statements.

Statement of Shri Rakhel Chand workman in person. I have been taken back by the management on duty since about 10/11 months back and no dispute in respect of my termination remains outstanding against the management. In respect of back wages for the period from the date of my termination of service till the date, I was taken back on duty around 10/11 months ago I settled with the management that I will not claim any back wages and therefore this reference may be treated as amicably settled with the management.

STATEMENT OF SHRI J. P. GUPTA FOR THE MANAGEMENT.

I have heard the statement of the workman. It is correct that the workman has been taken back on duty about 10/11 months back without payment of back wages in respect of the in between period of termination and reinstatement.

In view of their statements I answer the reference and give my award that the termination of the service of workman was not justified and in order but as the management has already re-instated the workman and he is not entitled to any further relief according to their statements.

Dated the 3rd July, 1979

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 1632 dated the 3rd July, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana Labour and Employment Department Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the I. D. Act,

BABU RAM GOYAL
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.